

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF PHOENIX NETWORK)	
CORPORATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF)	
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY)	
TO PROVIDE LONG DISTANCE TELECOM-)	CASE NO. 89-288
MUNICATIONS SERVICES STATEWIDE)	
AS A RESELLER WITHIN THE COMMON-)	
WEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Phoenix Network Corporation ("Phoenix Network") shall file the original and ten copies of the following information with the Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the information requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 2, sheet 1 of 6. Include in each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided.

The information requested herein is due no later than November 27, 1989. If the information cannot be provided by this date, Phoenix Network should submit a motion for an extension of time stating the reason a delay is necessary and include a date by which it can be furnished. Such a motion will be considered by the Commission.

1. Has Phoenix Network ever provided any intrastate telecommunications services in Kentucky? If so, explain in detail

the type of services Phoenix Network has provided. Also, provide the date of these services.

2. Has Phoenix Network ever collected any money from users of its intrastate telecommunications services provided in Kentucky? If so, provide a complete listing detailing the name and address of all persons paying any money to Phoenix Network, the amount of the money paid, and the date Phoenix Network received the money.

3. Provide copies of financial statements for the period of Phoenix Network's operation in Kentucky which show the income received by Phoenix Network from its intrastate telecommunications services.

4. Identify the facilities-based carriers whose services Phoenix Network intends to resell.

5. If Phoenix Network intends to resell tariffed services of facilities-based carriers, identify these tariffed services and specify whether these services will be obtained from intrastate or interstate tariffs.

6. If Phoenix Network intends to resell services that are not available under an approved tariff, provide copies of the contracts which govern the terms of the agreement between Phoenix Network and its facilities-based carriers.

7. Provide a clear and legible sketch showing all the switching locations and/or points-of-presence. Show how the facilities obtained from facilities-based carriers will be used to connect these locations. Include local access facilities and identify the local access that will be used.

8. If switching locations and/or points of presence are located outside the Commonwealth of Kentucky, explain how Phoenix Network will ensure that intrastate access charges will be paid.

9. Identify the services that will be utilized to offer intraLATA traffic.

10. Explain how Phoenix Network will screen intraLATA traffic, if Phoenix Network intends to resell services or facilities of carriers authorized only for interLATA traffic but which can carry intraLATA traffic.

11. Does Phoenix Network own and/or operate any transmission facilities in the Commonwealth of Kentucky or any other jurisdiction? If so, explain the nature of these facilities.

12. Does Phoenix Network have any affiliation with any other company which owns and/or operates any transmission facilities? If so, explain the nature of affiliation.

13. State the Kentucky counties which Phoenix Network proposes to serve.

14. Describe how calls will be transported from the customer's premises to the operator service centers. Include identification of Phoenix Network switching locations, and identification of services and providers of the services being resold.

15. Specify the facilities and/or services used by Phoenix Network to transport calls from the customer's premises to Phoenix Network's originating point-of-presence, such as the types of

access utilized (Feature Groups A, B, or D, special access, WATS, etc.). Identify the local exchange carriers from whom such access and/or services are purchased.

16. Specify the facilities and/or services used to bridge operators onto a call placed over the Phoenix Network.

17. Provide a description of how such calls are transported to final termination points. Specify the facilities and/or services used to terminate calls.

18. Explain how Phoenix Network handles emergency calls.

19. Provide an estimate of sales revenues for Phoenix Network's first 2 years of Kentucky operations. Explain how Phoenix Network arrived at these estimates. If estimates are based upon a market study, provide a copy of this study.

20. Provide a listing of financial institutions with which Phoenix Network has a line of credit. State Phoenix Network's credit line with each of these institutions.

21. Provide a toll-free number or provision for accepting collect calls, and point of contact, for customer complaints.

22. State whether Phoenix Network is aware of the provisions of the Kentucky Public Service Commission Administrative Case No. 273¹ and how it will apply to Phoenix Network's Kentucky operations.

¹ Administrative Case No. 273, An Inquiry Into Inter- and IntraLATA Intrastate Competition in Toll and Related Services Markets in Kentucky.

23. State whether Phoenix Network is aware of the potential impact of Administrative Case Nos. 323 and 328,² now pending before this Commission, that may apply to Phoenix Network's Kentucky operations.

24. Charging weekends the same as weekdays is unusual. Explain why Phoenix Network's rates for weekend and holidays are not at the night rate, and how this information is being provided to Phoenix Network's customers.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 31st day of October, 1989.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director

² Administrative Case No. 323, An Inquiry Into IntraLATA Toll Competition, An Appropriate Compensation Scheme for Completion of intraLATA Calls by Interexchange Carriers, and WATS Jurisdictionality; Administrative Case No. 328, Investigation Into Whether WATS Resellers Should be Included in the ULAS Allocation Process.